

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,197	02/25/2004	Yuki Nakajima	023971-0383	3372
.22428 FOLEY AND	7590 08/14/2007 LARDNER LLP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 500			ALI, MOHAMMAD M	
3000 K STREI WASHINGTO	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3744	
			·	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	¥.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/785,197	NAKAJIMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Mohammad M. Ali	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	ıly 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposition of Claims					
4)	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/785,197

Art Unit: 3744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148

USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(b) as being unpatentable over Takeshi et al., (EP 1049234 A2) in view of Staffia (5,732,769). Takeshi et al., disclose a drive unit 10 for an electric vehicle comprising a motor M, an inverter H, a speed reducer (see column 8, lines 37-40), a first refrigerant (water) receiving heat of at least one of the motor and the inverter and outputting the heat into the atmosphere through radiator R; second refrigerant (lubricating oil) receiving heat of at least one of motor and the speed reducer and outputting heat to the first refrigerant through a heat exchanger C; the heat exchanger C transferring the heat of second refrigerant to the first refrigerant. See Fig.1, column 6, line 44 to column 9, line 2. Also see Fig. 6,11, 12 and 14. Takeshi et al., disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above except another cooling passage being disposed inside of the cooling passage through

Application/Control Number: 10/785,197

Art Unit: 3744

which the second refrigerant is passed. Staffia teaches the a cooling passage between external pipe 1 and internal pipe 2 through which (see arrow 5) a second refrigerant (lubricating oil) is passed and the another refrigerant (cooling water) is passed through the internal pipe 4 disposed inside the second refrigerant passage as shown by arrow 7 in a vehicle oil cooling system for the purpose of cooling lubricating oil. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the drive unit of Takeshi et al., in view of Staffia such that the passage of other refrigerant could be provided through the passé of a second refrigerant in order to heat exchange and cooling the lubricating oil.

Regarding claims 2-7, 9-11, 14-15 and 18, the specific configuration of inverter and speed reducer, it is an obvious to have a specific configuration of the above objects since there is no criticality or unexpected result from it.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as explained above.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3744

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad M. Ali whose telephone number is 571-272-4806. The examiner can normally be reached on maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MOHAMMAD M. ALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/785,197

Art Unit: 3744

Page 5